



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 4/5/24

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Ankathi Soumya
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2020-24
Semester	8

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☐
Neutral	☒
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	✓
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	✓
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

- Some of my suggestions are,
- 1) every class what content is taught to us must relate to the real world (Industry Scenarios).
 - 2) Since from the 1st year of Btech, student must be able to learn the contents related to the placements.
 - 3) Therefore, at end of final semester, the courses taught in curriculum will help to reach placement of good package.

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

Signature



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 05/05/2024

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Vangala Prathyusha Rani
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2023-2024
Semester	VIII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☒
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

1. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement *(to consider during syllabus revision)*

V. B. Chandra Reddy
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 05/05/2024

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Hanharan
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2023-2024
Semester	VIII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 05/05/2024

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Sahasree
Department	
Academic Year	
Semester	

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☒
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

1. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

2. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

D. Palanivel
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Matlani Hiran
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2020-2024
Semester	8 th

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	R. Kartik
Department	CSE
Academic Year	4 th Year
Semester	VIII semester

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

to give the ~~in~~ most important topics to students in extra classes.

R. Karthik
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

Strongly not Agree	
--------------------	--



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	KUCHANAPALLY CHANDU
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2023-2024
Semester	8th

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

K. Chander
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	L. Udaya Chandrika
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2023 - 2024
Semester	VIII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

- It will be helpful for students, if syllabus contains new technologies / programming languages, which will be helpful in Industries. (Include other programming languages or frameworks like React/Node.js L. Vdaya chandrika.

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	G. Rahul
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2020-2024
Semester	8th

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

A



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Y. Arunkumar
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2020-2024
Semester	VIII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/ relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution.

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Y. Arunkumar
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	V. Thani Sri
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2020-2024
Semester	VIII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE

Warangal - 506 015, Telangana, INDIA. (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal)

కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక విజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర విద్యాలయం వరంగల్ - 506 015

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 4/5/24

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	M. Adithi Kumar
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2024
Semester	VIII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

J. Give suggestions for improvement *(to consider during syllabus revision)*

Nichol M
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	Piyush Daga ✓
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Piyush Daga
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2023 - 24
Semester	VIII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Not Agree	
Strongly not Agree	

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

No

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	R. Anish Reddy
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2023-24
Semester	VII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)

R. Amish Reddy
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 04/04/2024

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Brahmadevara Ramakrishna	Age	48
Educational Qualification	B.com	Occupation	Business
Name of Ward	Brahmadevara Keerthana		
Department & Class	8 CSE 1		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	✓
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Provide needed preparation material
beforehand.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 04-05-2024

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	M. Mallaiiah	Age	49
Educational Qualification	Degree	Occupation	Armed Guard in SBI
Name of Ward	M. Kavya Sree		
Department & Class	8 CSE 1		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐


E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 4/4/2023.

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	MOTUKURI PRABHAKAR	Age	45
Educational Qualification	M.C.A	Occupation	GOLDSMITH
Name of Ward	MOTUKURI SATHVIRA		
Department & Class	SCSE1		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

M. Prabhakar
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 4/5/2020

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Mohammed Shahid mshahid	Age	
Educational Qualification		Occupation	Business
Name of Ward	Hagrain Akbar		
Department & Class	CSE - I		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Shahed Masood
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 18/5/24

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	☒
Other (Please specify)	

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Vemuri Ananth.
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	2010-13
Presently employed at	APGVB.
Contact Details	7702777907.

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	
Agree	☒
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	☐
Adequate	☒
Inadequate	☐
Dull	☐
Irrelevant	☐

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	☒
Relevant	☐
Partly Relevant	☐
Mostly Relevant	☐
Completely Relevant	☐

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	/
No	
Marginally	

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	
Fair	

Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Communication and Soft Skills should be upgraded.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 17/5/24

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	✓
Other (Please specify)	

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Chandupatla Amulya
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	
Presently employed at	Tata Consultancy Services, Hyd.
Contact Details	8886233224

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	
Adequate	✓
Inadequate	
Dull	
Irrelevant	

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	
Relevant	
Partly Relevant	
Mostly Relevant	✓
Completely Relevant	

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	✓
No	
Marginally	

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	
Fair	

Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

P. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)

PYTHON Programming & Data Science Courses
should be Introduced.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	✓
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	M. Archiltha
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	POSIDEX Technologies
Designation	SNR project Manager
Contact Details	

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Good in Communication Skills - logical
Thinking & Analytics must be evaluated.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	✓
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	B. Harsha
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	Wie Labs
Designation	V. P. Engineering.
Contact Details	

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

→ need to groom the students with trending and buzzing technologies.

→ Java is Dead.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	✓
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Dr Akshay Kulkarni
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	Q Sprides
Designation	Sr. Software Engineer.
Contact Details	

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

They should improve their presentation skills


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	✓
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	T. Ranjith Kumar
Department	CSE
Designation	Assistant Professor
Academic Year	2023-2024
Courses you are teaching	OSPI, DBMS

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	✓
Excellent (5)	

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards / current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)

Some subjects topics to be updated. it should reach industry level


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	✓
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	G. Sridhar
Department	CSE
Designation	Asst. professor
Academic Year	2023-24
Courses you are teaching	Machine Learning, Compiler Design

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	✓
Excellent (5)	

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	☒
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Dr. Md. Shofiquddin Waseem
Department	CSE
Designation	Asst. prof
Academic Year	2023-24
Courses you are teaching	OOP through Java, AJP.

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	☒
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 19-4-22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	✓
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Bhargav.
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	Verisk (AD3i)
Designation	Head. Incharge
Contact Details	

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 19-4-22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	☒
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	M. Kiran Kumar
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	Mind to see
Designation	- Associate Manager
Contact Details	

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

E. Give suggestions for improvement *(to consider during syllabus revision)*

Good.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 19.4.23

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	✓
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Agni Jonnalagadda.
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	pal Tech.
Designation	Operations
Contact Details	

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

E. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 29-4-22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Sai Ram Vallum
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	2016-17
Presently employed at	CLLRS
Contact Details	9000717297

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/universities you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	✓
Adequate	
Inadequate	
Dull	
Irrelevant	

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	✓
Relevant	
Partly Relevant	
Mostly Relevant	
Completely Relevant	

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	☒
No	☐
Marginally	☐

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	☐
Fair	☐

Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Python should be added.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 19-9-23

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☒
Other (Please specify)	☐

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	J. Sai Krishna prasad
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	2016-17
Presently employed at	Tech Mahindra
Contact Details	8897262034

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	☒
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	☒
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	☒
Adequate	
Inadequate	
Dull	
Irrelevant	

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	☒
Relevant	
Partly Relevant	
Mostly Relevant	
Completely Relevant	

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	☒
No	☐
Marginally	☐

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	☐
Fair	☐

Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

core department Subject should be
focused EDC, BEE should be avoided.

Ranesh
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 19.4.23

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	P. Shiva Kumar
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	2016-17
Presently employed at	IBM
Contact Details	9010525836

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	☒
Adequate	☐
Inadequate	☐
Dull	☐
Irrelevant	☐

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	☒
Relevant	☐
Partly Relevant	☐
Mostly Relevant	☐
Completely Relevant	☐

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	☒
No	☐
Marginally	☐

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	☐
Fair	☐

Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

General Awardees should be given
to Juniors.

P. Shikhar Kumar

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 19-4-23

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	✓
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	S. kumaraswamy	Age	48
Educational Qualification	MSc	Occupation	Teacher
Name of Ward	S. Sai Kiran		
Department & Class	CSE-T		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 18/3/23

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	✓
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Saunpath	Age	45
Educational Qualification	Inter	Occupation	Business
Name of Ward	Suamanyali		
Department & Class	8CSE-1		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	


E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 29/04/23

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	✓
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	A. Sreenivas	Age	49
Educational Qualification	M.A	Occupation	Journalist
Name of Ward	A. Vbhupriya		
Department & Class	BCSE - 1		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	✓
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	✓
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 29-04-2023.

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☒
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Shraddha Ghanapriam	Age	38
Educational Qualification	B. Ed	Occupation	Home Maker
Name of Ward	Shranya Ghanapriam		
Department & Class	CSE - 01		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐


E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☒
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	K. Ramesh	Age	46
Educational Qualification	Intermediate	Occupation	Business
Name of Ward	K. Rajkumar		
Department & Class	8CSE1		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)



Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 29/4/23

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	U. Hemanth
Department	
Academic Year	
Semester	

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☒
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	


H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

1. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

1. Give suggestions for improvement *(to consider during syllabus revision)*


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 21/4/23

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Dr. Sathya K. Reddy
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2022-2023
Semester	8th Semester

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 29-04-23

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Shranya Ghanshyam
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2019 - 2023
Semester	8

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☒
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution.

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution.

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓


H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

1. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

2. Give suggestions for improvement *(to consider during syllabus revision)*


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Conduct Hand on Experience on the latest technology by conducting
Labs and meeting with industrial experts


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 19-4-2022

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	KOLUPAKA PREETHI
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2021-22
Semester	8

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

K. Preethi
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 19-4-2022

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	MATETI JAYANTH
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2021-2022
Semester	VIII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	✓
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Jayanth
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 09-04-2022

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☒
Other (Please specify)	☐

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	D. Chakritha Reddy
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	2015 - 2019
Presently employed at	Infosys
Contact Details	9515824025

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

H. 1

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	☒
Adequate	☐
Inadequate	☐
Dull	☐
Irrelevant	☐

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	☒
Relevant	☐
Partly Relevant	☐
Mostly Relevant	☐
Completely Relevant	☐

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	☒
No	☐
Marginally	☐

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	☐
Fair	☒

Average	
Good	
Excellent	

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

P. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 9-4-22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	M. Ramya Reddy
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	2015-19
Presently employed at	
Contact Details	8801314329

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	☒
Adequate	☐
Inadequate	☐
Dull	☐
Irrelevant	☐

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	☒
Relevant	☐
Partly Relevant	☐
Mostly Relevant	☐
Completely Relevant	☐

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	☒
No	☐
Marginally	☐

Rate *your courses* on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	☐
Fair	☒

Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

M. Rang
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 18/5/22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	☒
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Madhu Mohan Bandi	Age	55
Educational Qualification		Occupation	Agriculture
Name of Ward	Om preetham Bandi		
Department & Class	CSE 3		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

NIL

madhu

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 18/3/22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	✓
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	K. Srinivas	Age	45
Educational Qualification		Occupation	private job
Name of Ward	K. Preethi		
Department & Class	CSE 3		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	☒
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	
Agree	☒
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

NIL


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



KAKATIYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCE

Warangal - 506 015, Telangana, INDIA (An AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTE under Kakatiya University, Warangal)

కాకతీయ సాంకేతిక విజ్ఞాన శాస్త్ర విద్యాలయం. వరంగల్ - 506 015.

20

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 10/4/22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	☒
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	V. Chandra Shekhar Rao
Department	CSE
Designation	Associate prof.
Academic Year	2021-22
Courses you are teaching	PC (1st Sem) DTA (1 Sem) OOPS (2nd Sem) ADM. (M.Tech 1st Sem)

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	☒
Excellent (5)	

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Programming skills to be improved.

Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



20

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 10/4/22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	✓
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	G. Relcha
Department	CCE
Designation	Asst. prof.
Academic Year	2021-22
Courses you are teaching	oop through Java & OOP through CPP

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	✓
Excellent (5)	

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

~~Spk~~ → Need extra time to cover the whole syllabus.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 19-4-22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	✓
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	A. Arinash Reddy
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	Alpha Numero Tech. Sol.
Designation	Director Sales
Contact Details	

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

The ~~fundamentals~~ should be on data science related course where students can learn EDA tools.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 19-4-22

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	✓
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	K. Raghav
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	Techigai
Designation	Associate Director
Contact Details	

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

get case studies from organization & alumni group to standards for market needs. this will keep them ahead in the competition


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 06-05-2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Pathulavath Ganesh
Department	C&E
Academic Year	2020-21
Semester	VIII R2x5079@Kitsw.ac.in

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

More industry Relevant on courses

P. Ganesh
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 05.05.2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Bojapally Sadan RGT - B18CS078
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2020-21
Semester	VII B18CS078@kitw.ac.in

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

more emphasis on Python programing is
needed.

D. SadreT
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 6.05.2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Durgam Hima Bindu (B17CS161)
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2020 - 2021
Semester	VIII

Email: B17CS161@kitw.co.in

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)


Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

more emphasis on soft skills.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 05.05.2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Bandi Bhargavi
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2021
Semester	VIII

Email: B17CS166@kitw.ac.in

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)


Poor	☐
Fair	☒
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Institute should follow/take some real time inputs from industries.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 5.05.2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	P. Rishika (B17CS022)
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2021
Semester	VIII

Email:- B17CS022@vitw.ac.in

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☒
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)


Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Providing Internships Opportunities in Industries.



Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 10.5.2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	✓
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Dr. N. Sayathi
Department	CSE
Designation	Asst. Professor
Academic Year	2020 - 2021
Courses you are teaching	Operating Systems, User Experience Design.

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	✓
Excellent (5)	

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards / current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations


Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

More weightage should be given to the projects implementation. Students should be motivated to work with real-time data and enhance the existing algorithms to fill the gap between academics and industries.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

**FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS**

Date: 10-5-2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	☒
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Dr. K. Vinay Kumar
Department	CSE
Designation	Assistant professor
Academic Year	2020-21
Courses you are teaching	Bigdata analytics, DBMS, secure software engineering

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	☒

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Provide Real-time applications problems
as assignments to enrich knowledge.
in the each Course.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 29-03-2022

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	☒
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	<u>Gi Sridhar</u>
Department	<u>CSE</u>
Designation	<u>Asst Professor</u>
Academic Year	<u>2021-22</u>
Courses you are teaching	<u>Theory of computation, compiler design</u>

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	☒

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Advanced topics which are used to meet the industry expectation and are in HOCF should be added to the existing syllabus.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 8/05/2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	✓
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Grishh Ahankari
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	Kore AI, Hyderabad
Designation	Vice-President
Contact Details	Grishh@gmail.com

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students


Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

-Advanced Artificial Intelligence topic like Deep learning, Cognitive Computing, VR Technologies should add to the Syllabus


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 1/05/2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	✓
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Koushik Bhupathi
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	ADP, Hyderabad.
Designation	Senior Software Architecture
Contact Details	koushik@gmail.com

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Industry Institute interaction should increase
more industry schedule technology like block
chain, cloud computing should added to
syllabus.

Koushik Bhupathi
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 1/05/2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	☒
Other (Please specify)	

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	A. Sahitya Raj
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	2008 - 2012
Presently employed at	CTO, Seeral Technologies, Hyd.
Contact Details	raj@gmail.com

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/ department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	
Agree	☒
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	☐
Adequate	☒
Inadequate	☐
Dull	☐
Irrelevant	☐

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	☐
Relevant	☐
Partly Relevant	☒
Mostly Relevant	☐
Completely Relevant	☐

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	☒
No	☐
Marginally	☐

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	☐
Fair	☐

Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Real time case studies should include in syllabus.

Sachin Raut
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 8/05/2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	✓
Other (Please specify)	

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Mr. B. Prasad
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	2013 - 2015
Presently employed at	CTO. K4UTech
Contact Details	Prasad123@gmail.com

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	
Adequate	
Inadequate	
Dull	
Irrelevant	

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	
Relevant	
Partly Relevant	
Mostly Relevant	
Completely Relevant	

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	☒
No	☐
Marginally	☐

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	☐
Fair	☐

Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Innovation Components should be included in the Syllabus.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 12/5/2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	☒
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	K. Srinivas Reddy		Age	52
Educational Qualification	Graduate	Occupation	Self Employed	
Name of Ward	K. Keerthi Reddy			
Department & Class	CSE			

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems


Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Learning specific trainings need to be provided.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

**FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS**

Date: 12/05/2021

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	✓
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Padishetty - Vishnuvardhan			Age	47
Educational Qualification	M-Tech	Occupation	Business		
Name of Ward	P. Mansa				
Department & Class	CSE 4 th year				

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems


Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Ethics & personal motivation should be included.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 16/4/18

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	G. USHA SREE
Department	CSE (SE)
Academic Year	2016-18
Semester	IV

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	✓
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	✓
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement *(to consider during syllabus revision)*


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 16/4/19

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	P. Divya Teja
Department	CSE (SE)
Academic Year	2016-18
Semester	IV

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	☒
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement *(to consider during syllabus revision)*


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 10/4/18

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	✓
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	RAMULU			Age	
Educational Qualification		Occupation	Police Constable		
Name of Ward					
Department & Class	CSE (SE)				

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	✓
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	✓
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 11/11/18

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	✓
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Balaiah	Age	
Educational Qualification		Occupation	
Name of Ward			
Department & Class	Cse (Se)		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	✓
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☐
Neutral	☒
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

G. Please give suggestions for improvement *(to consider during syllabus revision)*


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date:

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	✓
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Rina Yadav
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	Grempack, Hyderabad
Designation	AM in campus Team
Contact Details	9002972790

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students ?

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

134

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good.	✓
Excellent	

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Rina Yadav

Signature

135

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



20

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 20/6/18

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	✓
Other (Please specify)	

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	R. Vijay Kumar
Department	
Studied during (Acad Years)	2015-18
Presently employed at	
Contact Details	99.63935674

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	☒
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	☒

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	☒
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	
Adequate	
Inadequate	☒
Dull	
Irrelevant	

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	
Relevant	☒
Partly Relevant	
Mostly Relevant	
Completely Relevant	

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	
No	
Marginally	☒

Rate your courses on the following points:

- I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	☒
Good	
Excellent	

- J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	☒
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

- K. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	☒
Good	
Excellent	

- L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	☒
Good	
Excellent	

- M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	
Fair	

Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	✓
Excellent	

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Traditional B.Tech. related Courses need to be avoided like Maths, etc. Try to introduce new Courses to Enhance Research.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 02.08.2019

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Polasani Ajay.
Department	CSE.
Academic Year	2019-20.
Semester	8CSE1

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	✓
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

J. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 2/8/19

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Veerlapati Chelana Sai
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2019-20
Semester	8

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	☒
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	☒
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 5/8/19

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	✓
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	DR. NARASIMHA REDDY SOORA
Department	Computer Science and Engineering
Designation	Associate Professor
Academic Year	
Courses you are teaching	Digital Image Processing, OOP through JAVA

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	✓
Excellent (5)	

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

The design of the syllabus has to be done by the teacher who taught that subject atleast once. Considerable amount of time has to be spent by the expert in designing/including the content into each unit, so that it will be covered in stipulated time allocated for that UNIT.

S. H. Reddy
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 5/8/19

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	✓
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	I. Sai Rama Krishna
Department	CSE
Designation	Asst. Prof
Academic Year	2019-2020
Courses you are teaching	C++ , Java & mmd lab

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	✓

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

I. Applicability/ relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)

— Decrease the assignments.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 5/8/19

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	☒
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Rhateel Ahmed, K. Charathi Chandra.
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	Wipro (Elite NIT 2020)
Designation	Senior Executive - TA.
Contact Details	

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

E. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 8/8/2019

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	/
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	K. Riwon
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	Wipro (Elite NTH 2020).
Designation	MGR Campus - Hires
Contact Details	

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

E. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)

Handwritten notes in the margin:

1. The syllabus is not up to date
2. The syllabus is not up to date
3. The syllabus is not up to date



Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 8/8/2019

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	✓
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Kadam Narasimha Reddy	Age	43
Educational Qualification		Occupation	Business
Name of Ward	Kadam Sheeraj Reddy		
Department & Class	8 CEE - III		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 14/5/18

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher [Course Faculty]	☒
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Dr. P. Vijay Kumar
Department	Asst. Prof of C&E
Designation	Asst. Prof
Academic Year	2017-2018
Courses you are teaching	E-Commerce

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	☒
Excellent (5)	

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards / current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	/

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

I. Applicability/ relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	/
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement *(to consider during syllabus revision)*


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 14/5/12

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher [Course Faculty]	✓
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Dr. V. Laxmi
Department	CSE
Designation	Asst. Professor
Academic Year	
Courses you are teaching	AG, OOP, OOP.

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	
Excellent (5)	✓

B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards / current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

As the cse is always upgrading in a quick
way, revising of the syllabus is ~~required~~
required for every year.

✓ *Secretary*
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 18/5/18

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	☒
Other (Please specify)	

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Vemuri Ananth.
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	2010-15.
Presently employed at	APGVB.
Contact Details	7702777907.

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	
Agree	☒
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	☒
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	☒
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	
Adequate	☒
Inadequate	
Dull	
Irrelevant	

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	☒
Relevant	
Partly Relevant	
Mostly Relevant	
Completely Relevant	

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	☒
No	☐
Marginally	☐

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	☐
Fair	☐

Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

O. Overall rating

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☒

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Communication and Soft Skill, should be upgraded.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 17/5/18

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	✓
Other (Please specify)	

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Chandupatla Amulya
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	
Presently employed at	Tata Consultancy Services, Hyd.
Contact Details	8886233224

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Disagree	☐
Strongly Disagree	☐

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	☐
Adequate	☒
Inadequate	☐
Dull	☐
Irrelevant	☐

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	☐
Relevant	☐
Partly Relevant	☐
Mostly Relevant	☒
Completely Relevant	☐

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	✓
No	
Marginally	

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	
Fair	

Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

PYTHON Programming & Data Science Courses
should be Introduced.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 17/5/18

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Devala Ravi
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2017-18
Semester	VIII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

J. Give suggestions for improvement *(to consider during syllabus revision)*


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 17/5/18

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Apreen Fathima
Department	CSE
Academic Year	17-18
Semester	VII

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☒
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

More practical courses should
be introduced.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 28.03.19

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	✓
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	K. Srinivas Reddy	Age	
Educational Qualification		Occupation	Private Emp.
Name of Ward	K. Upender Reddy		
Department & Class	CSE - sec 1		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	✓
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

improvement in communication skills
is required. so that students
can produce themselves better way
in placements.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 28.03.19

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	☒
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Parents Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	K. HANUMANTH RAO	Age	
Educational Qualification		Occupation	LIBRARIAN,
Name of Ward	K. ANURAG		
Department & Class	CSE - III		

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

A. Syllabus of your ward having current content?

Strongly Agree	
Agree	☒
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

B. Curriculum helps in enhancing intellectual aptitude of your ward

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	☒
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. Curriculum helps in developing personality of your ward

Strongly Agree	✓
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. Curriculum enhances employability

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. Syllabus is applicable to the solution for the local problems

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	✓
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. Your ward get adequate knowledge from the curriculum

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	✓
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

G. Please give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

PLZ INCULCATE ETHICS
IN STUDENTS.

Hanif
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 10-04-19

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	X
Teacher	X
Employer	✓
Parent	X
Alumni	X
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	KAYABHARAV PUNNAM CHANDER
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	DXC technology, Bangalore, Karnataka.
Designation	IT Developer
Contact Details	+91 9618461896

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

- B. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Please provide latest technology knowledge to the students as per the current market expectations.

Including latest/trending software languages like Python, Node.js will be helpful for the students in placements.

I would like to suggest some technologies to have an idea:

- 1) Python
- 2) Node.js
- 3) AWS
- 4) Salesforce
- 5) MongoDB


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 10th April

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☒
Employer	☒
Parent	☒
Alumni	☒
Other (Please specify)	

Employer's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	M. Krishna Vasudhan
Name of Organisation You belong to (Address)	Hyundai Motors
Designation	Regional Engineer
Contact Details	8099161016

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

1. Is the syllabus capable of adding learning values (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities) in students?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	☒
Good	
Excellent	

2. Is our syllabus compatible with the industry standards & applicable/relevant to real life situations?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	☒

C. Extent of Efforts being put-in by our students

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

D. Give Overall rating for the syllabus

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

E. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

- Self Learning has to be improved.
- Syllabus is good but teaching & practical knowledge to be given for the students
- DISCIPLINE is utmost important & need to improve that in the students (Even when we are studying rules are strict but loopholes are more)
- NO BIASED DECISIONS should be taken

Signature M. Vaagdevi

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 6/4/19.

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	☒
Other (Please specify)	

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Rishika
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	16-17 II
Presently employed at	Capgemini, Pune
Contact Details	9618506171

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	☒
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	
Agree	☒
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	X
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	X
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	X
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	X
Adequate	
Inadequate	
Dull	
Irrelevant	

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	
Relevant	
Partly Relevant	X
Mostly Relevant	
Completely Relevant	

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	X
No	
Marginally	

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	X
Good	
Excellent	

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	X
Good	
Excellent	

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	X
Good	
Excellent	

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	X
Excellent	

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	
Fair	

Average	X
Good	
Excellent	

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	X
Excellent	

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	X
Excellent	

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

- More number of practical lab needed because classroom study will be less interesting than lab practical work.

P. Shikha
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: April 6th

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	✓
Other (Please specify)	

Alumni Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	Sushmitha
Department	CSE
Studied during (Acad Years)	2017
Presently employed at	IBM, Hyd
Contact Details	8328636558

Please rate the following points:

- A. The activities organized by the college/ department met the objective of bringing about overall development of the students

Strongly Agree	
Agree	✓
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

- B. The facilities provided by college such as laboratories, equipment, computer facilities, internet wi-fi and seminar hall were up-to the mark

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	✓
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

C. The counseling & guidance provided by the faculties to all students was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

D. The library facilities provided by the college was excellent

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

E. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	
Agree	
Neutral	
Disagree	
Strongly Disagree	

F. The syllabus was

Challenging	
Adequate	
Inadequate	
Dull	
Irrelevant	

G. Your background for benefiting from the course was

Highly Relevant	
Relevant	
Partly Relevant	
Mostly Relevant	
Completely Relevant	

H. Has your time at KITSW been intellectually enriching?

Yes	☒
No	☐
Marginally	☐

Rate your courses on the following points:

I. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☒
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

J. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	☐
Fair	☒
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

K. Depth of the course content

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

L. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☒
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

M. Extent of effort required by the students

Poor	☐
Fair	☒

Average	
Good	
Excellent	

N. Relevance/learning value of Seminar/mini-project/project

Poor	
Fair	✓
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

O. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

P. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Include more no. of GATE classes
so that students interested in Research
can get a chance to work on
Research Subject AI, ML, Neural Networks.

Sushmita
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.



FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 22.03.19

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	✓
Employer	
Parent	
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	P Vijay Kumar
Department	C. S. E
Designation	Assistant Professor
Academic Year	2018-2019
Courses you are teaching	PPSC, DAA

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	✓
Excellent (5)	

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

I. Applicability/ relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

Good to add case studies on real time situations.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 22/03/2019

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	
Teacher	✓
Employer	
Parent	✓
Alumni	
Other (Please specify)	

Teacher's feedback

Please give following details:

Name	B. RAJU
Department	CSE
Designation	Assistant Professor
Academic Year	2018-19
Courses you are teaching	TOC,

Please rate the syllabus on the following points:

- A. Do you feel that the syllabus is defined in a way to clarify your teaching goals and what you expect your students to learn?

Poor (1)	
Fair (2)	
Average (3)	
Good (4)	✓
Excellent (5)	

- B. Is your syllabus sufficient to bridge the gap between industry standards /current global scenarios and academics?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

C. Is the timely coverage of syllabus possible in the mentioned number of hours ?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

D. Sufficient reference material and books are available for the topics mentioned in the syllabus?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. The evaluation methods mentioned in the curriculum are sufficient for providing proper assessment?

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. The present syllabus is

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

Rate your course syllabus on the following points:

G. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

H. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

I. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

J. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

K. Give suggestions for improvement (*to consider during syllabus revision*)

Intership is Mandatory.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS

Date: 26.03.19

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	P. Nikhil Teja
Department	CSE.
Academic Year	2017 - 2018
Semester	

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☐
Agree	☒
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☒
Average	☐
Good	☐
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	✓

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	☒
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement *(to consider during syllabus revision)*

P. Nikhil..
Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.

**FEEDBACK FOR REVIEW OF SYLLABUS**

Date: 26.03.19

KITSW values feedback from students, teachers, employers, parents and alumni regarding its syllabuses and other curriculum matters as part of its continuous improvement policy. To provide feedback please complete the following feedback survey form.

Please select your category:

Student	☒
Teacher	☐
Employer	☐
Parent	☐
Alumni	☐
Other (Please specify)	☐

Student Feedback

Please give following details:

Name	V. Sandeep Reddy
Department	CSE
Academic Year	2017-18
Semester	08

Please rate your syllabus on the following points:

- A. When you compare yourself with other counterparts from other colleges/ university, you feel that you got most of all the facilities and plus some extra which is not available in other colleges

Strongly Agree	☒
Agree	☐
Neutral	☐
Not Agree	☐
Strongly not Agree	☐

- B. Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives)

Poor	☐
Fair	☐
Average	☐
Good	☒
Excellent	☐

C. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

D. Depth of the course content

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

E. Extent of the coverage of course

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	
Excellent	

F. Syllabus is sufficient to make you analyse the engineering problems and its suitable solution

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

G. Relevance for implementation in projects

Poor	
Fair	
Average	✓
Good	
Excellent	

H. Compatibility with industry standards

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	✓
Excellent	

I. Overall rating

Poor	
Fair	
Average	
Good	70
Excellent	

J. Give suggestions for improvement (to consider during syllabus revision)

I am unable to concentrate on
Concepts due to more time on assignments
Please give less assignments.


Signature

Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback.

Your comments will be analysed and considered along with feedback received from other stakeholders. This information will assist KITSW to determine how well the curriculum is meeting the needs of students and to identify areas requiring change or further monitoring.